

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/540,201 03/31/2000		Otmar Bitsche	225/48731	3629	
75	590 12/30/2002				
Evenson McKeown Edwards & Lenahan PLLC 1200 G Street N W			EXAMINER		
			LAM, THANH		
Suite 700	7 70005	27117, 1121, 11			
Washington, De	20003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED, 12/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/540,201

Applicant(s)

Bitsche et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Thanh Lam

Art Unit **2834**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspo		
	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) da and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ne application to become ABANDONED	ays will be co the mailing d D (35 U.S.C.	nsidered timely. ate of this communication. § 133).	
Status	· [
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amndt. ff.	led on 10/23/2002			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa				
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>		_is/are p	ending in the application.	
2	a) Of the above, claim(s)		_ is/are v	vithdrawn from consideratio	n.
5) 💢	Claim(s) 8		is/	are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, and 9		is/	are rejected.	
7) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 5-7, and 10		is/	are objected to.	
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to	restrictio	on and/or election requireme	nt.
Applica	ition Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) a	objected '	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 3	7 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) 🗌 appr	roved b)	\square disapproved by the Exam	iner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign page	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		is National Stage	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) [···				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			nd/or 121.	
Attachm		. ,			
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413	3) Paper No(s	s)	
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent App	olication (PTC	D-152)	
3) Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09540201 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1, 3-4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Capenter in view of Wuerth et al.

Carpenter discloses Regarding claim 9, Carpenter discloses a reluctance motor having a motor and at least two salient stator poles (18, 19) with each of said stator poles being provided with an exciter coil (16), said reluctance motor further comprising at least one device or means

Application/Control Number: 09540201

Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

(24) positioned against an end of each of said exciter coils which faces said rotor to apply a radial

force to said exciter coils in a direction away from said rotor. However, Carpenter does not

specifically disclose the device having a spring chracteristic.

Wuerth et al. disclose a spring biasing device (20) for the purpose of providing a radially

outward force on the ends of said exciter coils.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the device of Carpenter to accommodate the spring bias device as taught by

Wuerth in order to improve the holding force for the excited coils.

Regarding claim 3, the proposal in combination of Carpenter and Wuerth disclose said

exciter coils are gripped between the spring biasing device and a yoke of the stator.

Regarding claim 4, the proposal in combination of Carpenter and Wuerth disclose each of

said stator poles has a groove in at proximately the center of the end facing the rotor wherein said

groove receives said spring biasing device.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09540201

01

Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2,5-7, and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 8 is allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626. The fax phone

number for this Group is (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0656.

Thanh Lam

Patent Examiner

Dec. 27, 2002